



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,451	11/30/2001	Theodore Timaru	WJT002-0022	5174	
24587	7590 07/07/2003				
ALCATEL		EXAMINER			
3400 W. PLA	TUAL PROPERTY DEP ANO PARKWAY, MS L	NGUYEN, LINH V			
PLANO, TX	75075	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2819	2819	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					De				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
· : Office Action Summary		10/008,451		TIMARU ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
•	1.4	Linh V Nguyen		2819					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period fo		, 10 OET TO EV		0) 50014					
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory min will apply and will expire, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	lanuary 2003 .							
2a)⊠		is action is non-f	inal.	•	•.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the				e merits is				
· ·	on of Claims			•					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consider	ation.		•				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election require	ment.						
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.							
10)⊠ 7	Γhe drawing(s) filed on <u>30 November 2001</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted	or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examine	r.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
. 11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approv	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	tion.						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	eived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	eived in Application	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the company of the certified of the company of the certified copies of the prior application.	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		•		application)				
	The translation of the foreign language pro	•			αμμπυαποιτή.				
15) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	* *			•				
Attachment	,	_							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2819

Response to Amendment

This application is in response to applicant's amendment received on 05/13/03, Claims 1 – 11, 20, 21 and 31 have been amended. Claims 1 – 34 are pending on this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 8, 10 17, 19, 20 28, 30 32, and 34, are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Powell U.S. patent No. 5,166,448.

Regarding to claims 1, 10, 19, 30, and 34 Fig. 2 Powell disclose an amplifier comprising: power amplifier (7) having an input signal path an output signal path (4); and a predistortion linearizer circuit that is (307, 312 - 316) capable of generating a distorted signal (312) which is reflected onto the input signal path (13) of the power amplifier and inputted into said power amplifier (1), wherein said predistortion linearizer is located a predetermined distance from the input signal path and not physically coupled to the input signal path (307, 309), and said distorted signal compensates for at least some of the nonlinear spurs introduced by said power amplifier to the input signal (15) applied to the signal path and inputted into said power amplifier such that said power amplifier generates a compensated output signal (4).

Art Unit: 2819

Regarding to claims 2, 11, 31 wherein the predistortion linearizer includes: a diode (Fig. 4[428] is a distortion generator of 312); a coupling circuit (307) coupled to said diode, capable of introducing a relatively small amount of power from the input signal into said diode and further capable of reflecting the distorted signal generated by said diode back onto the input signal without being physically coupled to the input signal path (309); and a direct current adjustment circuit (4[423]), coupled to said diode, capable of adjusting the amount of direct current inputted into said diode (Fig. 3, Fig. 4).

Regarding to claim 3, 12, 32 wherein said coupling circuit includes a microstrip having a predefined shape and located a predetermined distance from the signal path leading into said power amplifier (307).

Regarding to claim 4, 15, and 16, wherein said diode is a Schottky diode (Fig.4[428]) identical figure with claimed invention)

Regarding to claim 5-6, and 13-14, wherein the coupling circuit and direct current adjustment are automatic or manual adjustment to optimize a shape of the distorted signal of the amplifier (Fig. 4[423]).

Regarding to claim 7, and 17, wherein said predistortion linearizer does not affect the signal path or the operation of said power amplifier (Fig. 2).

Regarding to claim 8, wherein said transmitter is incorporated within a point-to-point Communication system (Col. 1 line 17).

Regarding to method claims 20 - 28, and 30, are deemed to be made clearly inherent by the structures of Powell as applied to claims 1 - 8, above.

Art Unit: 2819

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9 and 18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell, in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).
- Regarding to claim 9, and 18, Fig. 2 as applied to claims 1 8, above Powell discloses every aspect of applicant's claimed invention except for the amplifier is operating at or above 2 GHz. However the amplifier operated at or above 2 GHz is a well-known art and conventional as AAPA have indicated in Relate-Art on page 4 line 6. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilizing the amplifier of Powell at or above 2GHz in wireless communication taught by AAPA.
- 5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell, in view Johnston et al. U.S. patent No 6,369,603.

Powell as applied to claim 31 above discloses every aspect of applicant's claimed invention, except for wherein the predetermined distance can be tuned to compensate for the nonlinear spurs using variable capacitors.

Fig. 18 Johnston et al. disclose a RF communication system comprising: the predetermined distance between two coupling elements (244, 232) can be tuned to

Art Unit: 2819

compensate for the nonlinear spurs using metal variable capacitors (Col. 20 lines 50 – 60).

Powell and Johnston et al. are analogous, because they are from similar problem solving for RF coupling device, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the predetermined distance adjustment taught by Johnston et al. 's coupling to the predetermined distance of Powell's coupling for the purpose of improving impedance matching between two coupling elements (Johnston et al., Col 20 lines 39 –41).

Response to Arguments

With respect to claim 33, under remark applicant's argued that Johnston reference cannot use with a predistortion linear circuit. Examiner is respectfully traverses.

The claimed invention points to a RF coupling device having controllable distance by a variable capacitor to reflect RF signal of interests. While Powell disclose having a RF coupling device with a predetermined distance to reflect RF signal of interest, and Johnson disclose a RF coupling device having controllable distance by a variable capacitor to reflect RF signal of interest. They both are analogous because they are relating to RF coupling device. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the predetermined distance controllable of RF coupling device taught by Johnston et al. to the RF coupling

Art Unit: 2819

device of Powell' for the purpose of improving impedance matching between two coupling elements (Johnston et al., Col 20 lines 39 –41).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh Van Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1934. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 – 5:00 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Tokar can be reached at (703) 305-3493. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

LVN

June 27, 2003

Michael Tokar
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Michael S. Tokan